

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Entercom License, LLC)	MB Docket No. 16-357
Applications for Renewal of License for Station)	Facility ID No. 65483
KDND(FM), Sacramento, California)	File Nos. BRH-20050728AUU and
)	BRH-20130730ANM
)	
To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary		
Attn: Chief Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel		

ENFORCEMENT BUREAU’S SURREPLY TO PETITION TO ENLARGE ISSUES

1. On January 9, 2017, Media Action Center (MAC) and Sue Wilson (collectively, Petitioners) filed a petition to enlarge the issues in the above-captioned proceeding (Petition).¹ Petitioners primarily seek to add issues concerning operations at five additional Sacramento broadcast stations (Other Sacramento Stations) licensed by Entercom License, LLC (Entercom).² At the time the Petition was filed, Entercom’s applications for renewal of the Other Sacramento Stations were pending before the Commission’s Media Bureau. On January 18, 2017, after consideration of the record before it, the Media Bureau granted the pending applications for renewal of the Other Sacramento Stations.³ As the Enforcement Bureau (Bureau) noted in its Opposition, the Media Bureau’s action on these applications essentially rendered moot

¹ See Petition to Enlarge Issues by Media Action Center and Sue Wilson, filed Jan. 9, 2017 (Petition).

² See Petition at 14-15, ¶¶ (j)-(m).

³ See Correspondence dated Jan. 18, 2007 to counsel for Entercom Sacramento License, LLC from Peter H. Doyle, Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau, related to Stations KUDL(FM), KRXQ(FM), KSEG(FM), KKDO(FM), and KIFM(AM).

Petitioners' request to add issues concerning the Other Sacramento Stations.⁴

2. In their Reply, Petitioners accuse the Bureau – *without citing to any evidence* – of conniving with the Media Bureau “behind-the-scenes” to “arrange for the grants of the five station renewals” and “thwart the relief” that Petitioners requested.⁵ Although the Media Bureau’s action coincided with the pendency of Petitioners’ request, this fact alone offers no basis for Petitioners to falsely accuse the Bureau of concocting a method with the Media Bureau “to withhold from the presiding judge any authority to explore” the matters Petitioners raise in their Petition.⁶ In point of fact, the Bureau did not attempt to influence and indeed, had no influence on, the Media Bureau’s decision to grant the pending applications for the Other Sacramento Stations or on the timing of this grant. For Petitioners to suggest otherwise is entirely inappropriate. Petitioners’ baseless accusations should be stricken from the record.⁷

⁴ See Enforcement Bureau’s Opposition to Petition to Enlarge Issues, filed Jan. 18, 2017, at 1 (Opposition).

⁵ Reply to Enforcement Bureau’s Opposition to Petition to Enlarge Issues, filed Jan. 26, 2017, at 1, 2, 7 (Reply).

⁶ Reply at 2.

⁷ Petitioners’ Reply also appears to seek from the Presiding Judge a stay of the effect of the Media Bureau’s grant of Entercom’s applications for renewal of the Other Sacramento Stations. See, e.g., Reply at 1. Yet, Petitioners fail to identify any Commission authority for the Presiding Judge to act on applications that are not before him.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Carowitz
Acting Chief, Enforcement Bureau



Pamela S. Kane
Special Counsel
Investigations and Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room 4-C330
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1420

Michael Engel
Special Counsel
Market Disputes Resolution Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room 4-C366
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-7330

February 1, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pamela S. Kane certifies that she has on this 1st day of February, 2017, sent copies of the foregoing "ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S SURREPLY TO PETITION TO ENLARGE ISSUES" via email to:

The Honorable Richard L. Sippel
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554 (by hand, courtesy copy)


Rachel Funk
Office of the Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554 (by hand, courtesy copy)

Robert G. Kirk
Wilkinson Barker Knauer LLP
1800 M Street, N.W.
Suite 800N
Washington, D.C. 20036
rkirk@wbklaw.com

Jane E. Mago
Special Counsel
Entercom Communications Corp.
4154 Cortland Way
Naples, FL 34119
jane.mago@entercom.com

Steven A. Lerman
Lerman Senter PLLC
2001 L Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036.
slerman@lermansenter.com

Michael Couzens
Michael Couzens Law Office
6536 Telegraph Avenue
Suite B201
Oakland, CA 94609
cuz@well.com


Pamela S. Kane